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“A CRIME WE DIDN’T COMMIT”
• 33,000 students, many are non-residential, returning, first generation, or at-risk

• University College coordinates the early assistance programs such as Summer Success Academy, Bridge, and Themed Learning Communities

• Instructional teams are made up of a lead faculty member (from departments or schools, or from University College), a student mentor, an academic advisor, and a librarian

• ACRL & PULs (Principles of Undergraduate Learning)

• FYS Template: 
  http://research.uc.iupui.edu/EvaluationReports/FirstYearSeminars.aspx
WE’RE ON A MISSION
Learning Outcomes and Classroom Instruction

Question #4
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OUT OF NECESSITY
• PRAC (Program Review and Assessment Committee)
• Trudy Banta
• $2500 grant; professional development, graduate student
• Analyzing Your Instruction Environment: A Workbook
• University Library faculty, staff, and administrators contribute to the evaluation instrument to provide for better understanding of the instructional environment.

• Focus groups will categorize and describe pedagogical approaches, goals, emphases, or common themes that are included in the instructional environment or information literacy program which will allow the library to evaluate their current structure, mission, or focus.
• Preliminary pilot data from the faculty members in the group included:
  • 100% reported that they encouraged students to contact the librarian for help prior to class beginning, as well as follow up with a librarian or seek help in the library
  • only a small percentage (30%) of the participants claimed that a librarian visited their class
Figure 2: (Standard One, Performance Indicator One):
The information literate student defines and articulates the need for information.
• Faculty Teach or Assess: 59.5%
• Librarian Teach/Assess=2.5%
• Neither=24%
• N/A=5%

Pilot Results: Faculty
NILOA TRANSPARENCY FRAMEWORK
IUPUI University Library Information Literacy Program: A Framework for Instruction and Assessment

1. Information Literacy Competencies
2. Current Library Instruction
3. Information Literacy Across the Curriculum
4. Evidence of Student Learning
5. Resources for Assessment
6. Beyond the Library
• Start conversations with campus administrators, what accreditation teams are looking for as evidence of student learning/engagement with library services early, and what information literacy means to faculty and administrators across campuses.
• Focus on issues of data collection, transparency in documentation, and better practices for collaborating and communicating with faculty.
• Potential results of this project include sharpening the marketing, programming, and services around demonstration, data, and discussion, rather than around speculative and anecdotal information
• More visible info lit information, including assessment practices, assignments, etc.
• Revision/re-visit data and surveys in 3-5 years, depending on final recommendation from PRAC; yearly reporting like other academic units
• Centralized location for documenting stats, reports, activities
• Determine responsibility for representing how the library contributes to student learning, and how to track, record, display, and make decisions, based on learning outcomes, appropriate qualitative and quantitative research, and flexibility to revise, plan, and allocate according to needs.
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See you in Indianapolis!
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