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Introduction

• What am I here to talk about?
• Why is it useful?
• Whom might this be useful for?
Context
Context
Elements

Focuses on financial and usage data

Elements:
- Table of readily available data
Table of readily available data

- Visits
- Usage of resources (print, electronic, special collections, resource sharing)
- Instruction sessions & research appointments (general and special collections)
- Institutional repository activity
- Student worker program
Table of readily available data

Below is a table describing the kinds of student data the Claremont Colleges Library (CCL) is able to share with our campuses. In general, CCL can only offer data that are aggregated, as we wish to protect the privacy of our patrons, but there are exceptions. One, related to senior theses, is noted below, and the others are anecdotes illustrating librarian engagements with students, which can be gathered from library colleagues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data description</th>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Dates of most useful data</th>
<th>Data points</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>CCL Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General library: Visits</td>
<td>Wifi routers</td>
<td>November 2015-present</td>
<td>- status (student status)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- device type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- operating system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- location (floor, Honnold/Mudd side)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General library: Usage of physical resources</td>
<td>WMS</td>
<td>July 2015-present</td>
<td>- number of accounts</td>
<td>have limited access to older data, but wouldn't be able to segment by patron type, just campus and subject area</td>
<td>Lebel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- status (student, senior, faculty, staff)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- number of checkouts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- time of checkout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- general subject areas of resource checked out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General library: Usage of electronic resources</td>
<td>ezProxy</td>
<td>December 2015-present</td>
<td>- status (student status)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- names of resources visited by users</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- relative (not absolute) volume of traffic to these resources (e.g., more entries in log for X resource than Y resource)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- when resource was accessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Elements

Focuses on financial and usage data

Elements:
- Table of readily available data
- Interview guide for stakeholders
Interview guide for stakeholders

Basic Interview Guide

1. What do you view as the greatest strengths of the students at [CAMPUS]?
2. What are your biggest concerns about your students’ success? What are your biggest concerns about them after they graduate from [CAMPUS]?
3. What do you view as the greatest strengths of your faculty?
4. What are your biggest concerns about your faculty’s success?
5. What are your top priorities for [CAMPUS] today?
6. What opportunities do you see for the library to support your students and faculty?
7. What concerns do you have about the library’s support for your students and faculty?
Elements

Focuses on financial and usage data

Elements:
• Table of readily available data
• Interview guide for stakeholders
• Short survey questionnaire
# Short survey questionnaire

## Student and Faculty Survey Questionnaire

1. What kind of activities do your class assignments typically involve?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doing primary research (e.g., conducting your own experiments or collecting original data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing secondary research (e.g., working with literature, data, or other materials from existing research)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. How do you [FACULTY: your students] typically do course work?

- Alone
- With others

3. Where do you [FACULTY: think students] typically do course work?

- In the lab
- Elsewhere in [CAMPUS] buildings
- At library
- At home
- Other ________

4. [STUDENTS ONLY] Approximately what percentage of your time doing course work do you spend in each of those locations?

- In the lab ______
- Elsewhere in [CAMPUS] buildings ______
- At library ______
- At home ______
- Other ______
Elements

Focuses on financial and usage data

Elements:
• Table of readily available data
• Interview guide for stakeholders
• Short survey questionnaire
• Report template
Reporting on Library Value to Single College (TEMPLATE)

1. Overview: Statement on ROI for aspects of library that are viewed as valuable by the campus
2. Discussion of each of those areas, which may include:
   a. Information resources both held and borrowed by the library
      i. Campus’ contribution to the library (budget data)
      ii. Campus’ key resource needs (interview data, EZproxy logs)
      iii. How the economy of scale at Claremont enables the campus to have access to vastly more than they could otherwise afford on their own, with examples (budget and acquisitions data)
   b. Faculty and student support
      i. Information literacy instruction and accreditation (instruction data, conversations with colleagues)
      ii. Research support services (reference and consultation data, conversations with colleagues)
   c. Library facilities: building visitors (wifi router logs)
3. Future directions: Current and upcoming projects of likely interest to the campus
4. Conclusion: Reiterated statement on ROI for the campus and summary of discussion above
Introduction

At your request, I have examined the value KGI receives from the Claremont Colleges Library (CCL). The evidence demonstrates that KGI is receiving a great return on its investment in the following ways:

- Access to critical STEM information resources at 2.2% of their total cost to the Claremont Colleges;
- Dependable and fast retrieval of resources requested by researchers;
- Targeted support for learning and research in pharmacy and the applied life sciences;
- Use of library facilities that accommodate visitors in individualized and group study settings.

Information Resources

In FY15, KGI contributed $236,913 to (or 2.2% of) CCL’s budget. In return, the library has provided the institute with access to STEM and business journals, books, and other resources that are competitive with those of KGI’s peer institutions. For the pharmaceutical sciences, in particular, the library continues to manage access to electronic materials originally purchased in 2013 to support the WASC accreditation of KGI's...
Results so far

Upcoming report Pitzer: much faster, smoother

Completed report for KGI: not a peep since
Practical implications & conclusion

**KGI**: not having heard back is kind of a win

**Pitzer**: easy leap into supporting reaccreditation, future assessment studies

**Scripps**: quick report for new president

**Pomona**: quick report for new lead college dean (my boss’s boss)?

Any college, anywhere?